

United States Tatent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/747,760	12/21/2000	Richard Glynne	18547-046600US	4702
75	90 02/26/2002			
Andrew T. Serafini, Ph.D. TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor			EXAMINER	
			PONNALURI, PADMASHRI	
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1627	

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/747,760

Applicant(s)

Mack et al

Examiner

Padmashri Ponnaluri

Art Unit **1627**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation.
	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	orte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-21</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	ve been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Art Unit: 1627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are currently pending in this application.

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, a dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Jyothsna Venkat, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at jyothsna.venkat@uspto.gov or 703-308-2439. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-2, drawn to a method of screening a drug candidates comprising;
 providing a cell that expresses an expression profile gene, classified in class 435,
 subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 3-11, drawn to a method of screening drug candidates comprising providing a cell that expresses an expression profile gene set, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

Art Unit: 1627

- III. Claims 12-15, drawn to a method of screening for a bioactive agent capable of binding to a B lymphocyte modulator protein (BLMP), classified in class 436, subclass 501.
- IV. Claims 16-18, drawn to a method of evaluating the effect of an immunosuppressive drug (in vivo), classified in class 435, subclass 63.
- V. Claims 19-21, drawn to an array of probes, classified in class 436, subclass 518 or class 536, subclass 23.1.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of groups I-IV are drawn to different methods. These methods have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of group I-III are drawn to **in vitro** method of screening a drug candidate, which are different from each other (group I method uses a cell that expresses an expression profile of a single gene; group II method uses a cell that expresses an expression profile of gene set; and group III method uses BLMP protein). Group IV method is drawn to **in vivo** screening. Thus restriction between the groups is proper.
- 4. Inventions of groups I-IV and group V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the inventions of group V are drawn to an array

Application/Control Number: 09/747,760

Art Unit: 1627

(product), which can be used in several different methods, i.e., groups I-III. Thus restriction between the groups is proper.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- a) if group I is elected, applicants are requested to elect a single species of cell.
- if group II is elected, applicants are requested to elect one type of set from the following:
 I) set comprises tolerance set;
- ii) set comprises **stimulation set**; and if stimulation set is elected, applicants are further requested to elect gene in which the expression is increased; and a gene in which the expression is decreased;
- iii) set comprises **immunosuppression set**; and if immunosuppression set is elected, applicants are further requested to elect gene in which the expression is increased; and a gene in which the expression is decreased;
- c) if group IV is elected, applicants are requested to elect a single gene;
- d) if group V is elected, applicants are requested to elect a single gene.

Art Unit: 1627

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 12, 14, 16-17, 20-21 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1627

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

9. Applicant is required to reply to this restriction requirement within 30 days of mailing

this action. See MPEP 809.2(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to P. Ponnaluri whose

telephone number is (703) 305-3884. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through

Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jyothsna Venkat, can be reached at (703)308-2439. The fax number for this group is

(703)305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

P. Ponnaluri

Patent Examiner

Technology center 1600

Art Unit 1627

25 February 2002

PADMASHRI PONNALURI PRIMARY EXAMINER



RESTRICTION ELECTION FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

FROM/ATTORNE	Y :
FIRM:	
PAGES, INCLUDI	NG COVERSHEET:
PHONE NUMBER:	
TO EXAMINER:	P. Ponnaluri
ART UNIT:	1627
SERIAL NUMBER	: 09/747,760
FAX/TELECOPIER	R NUMBER: (703) 308-4315
PLEASE NOTE	: THIS FACSIMILE NUMBER IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR RESPONSES TO RESTRICTIONS.
COMMENTS:	

IF YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED ALL THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CONTACT THE ATTORNEY AT THE TELEPHONE NUMBER LISTED ABOVE.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH 1096 OG 30, THE FILING DATE ACCORDED EACH OFFICIAL FAX TRANSMISSION WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE FAX MACHINE DATE STAMP FOUND ON THE LAST PAGE OF THE TRANSMISSION, UNLESS THAT DATE IS A SATURDAY, SUNDAY, OR FEDERAL HOLIDAY WITHIN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, IN WHICH CASE THE OFFICIAL DATE OF RECEIPT WILL BE THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY.

THE DOCUMENT(S) ACCOMPANYING THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION CONTAIN(S) INFORMATION FROM THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WHICH IS CONFIDENTIAL AND/OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. THIS INFORMATION IS FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR FIRM NAMED ON THIS SHEET. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION, OR THE TAKING OF ANY ACTION IN RELIANCE ON THE CONTENTS OF THIS INFORMATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. THE DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE RETURNED TO THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE IMMEDIATELY. IF THIS FACSIMILE IS RECEIVED IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY THE ATTORNEY LISTED HEREON IMMEDIATELY.